F B

App. F. IX-4

1938-9 (S/A)

File No. 231-38

Serial Nos. 1—3

Previous References

S/A 102-27 (1, 2, 4 to 6)
" Cp. 144-37
" 213-35
" 81-37

Letter References

S/A 231-38 (4 to 5)
" 231-38 (6)
47 No 1220/38
39-40.

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

S.P. Dept. S/A

Serial Nos.

Pvcs

Part B for November 1938

SUBJECT.

Petition from B.A. Madakari Naik and others, Pollegars of Chitaldrug praying that the pension of E Sivarama Naik (ordered to be lapsed to Govt.) may be continued to them.

R 1-2 — To Mr. B. Kalkuri Rangappa Naik, Pollegar of Chitaldrug. Hold Secy. No. H 2843 dt 15/11/38

States that the orders of Govt. already passed on the petition are not to be communicated to petitioner

To Mr. B.M. Madakari Naik No. H 2844 dt 15/11/38

Informs him that the orders already passed on the petition are not to be communicated to him.

WD 0295—GPB—22,500—18-8-37

R. R. Low 30/11/38

299 V 1062 Fc

Petition from B.D. Madakari Naik, Pollegar of the Chitaldrug family, praying for the renewal of the lapsed pension of Eswaramma Nagathi

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193 | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

Petition dated 16th July 1938 from B.D. Madakari Naik & others, Pollegars of the Chitaldrug family, praying that the pension of Eswaramma Nagathi (ordered to be lapsed to Govt) may be Continued to them.

The present petition is an appeal against the G.O. directing that the pension of Eswaramma Nagathi should be lapsed to Govt. (G.O. No. Fl. 746/StA 100-27-6 ⊗ dated the 26th July 1938). Ever since the issue of the above Order, the petitioners have been frequently, ~~[illegible]~~ jointly and also severally submitting petitions praying for a revision of the above order. Each time they have been told that the Orders already passed cannot be reconsidered.

If approved, the attention of the petitioners may be invited to the Endorsement No. Fl 3097/StA. 17-37-3. dated 4/12/37 informing that the pension has been Ordered to be lapsed and that orders already issued Cannot be revised. For orders.

RRS 9/8

Recently orders were issued in two cases viz StA 395 ⊗ -34-4 in which the claim of Rangappiarajiah and others were recognised as the Cousins of the last male holder of the vacant pension, and the pension of Jaganavva, once ordered to be lapsed, was Continued to the said Rangapparajiah. In another case, viz

Flag P

StA Dep 213 of 35
" " 81 of 37
" " 144 of 37

(The other references quoted in the petition have been put up with submitted FC 2823/ separately.)

Please note on the grounds now urged.
Flag Q 8/9
11.8.38

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

299 — 1062 — 1095
PC

File No. of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

S+A 95-33-4 the claims of Ramappa Naik to the pension of his paternal aunt Rangamma was recognised — These are the Cases referred to by the petitioners on page 4 (Sub paras 4 + 5) of their petition, and on these, as precedents, they base their present claim for similar treatment.

BRB DM 2079

[The references quoted have been put up with the petitions of certain claimants in respect to the lapsed pensions or stipends held by two ladies Hanumamma Nagathi and Chikkasahyamma Nagathi, widow and daughter respectively of Puradamma naik of the Chitaldrug family (linked file)]

The continuance or otherwise of these pensions is entirely at the discretion of Govt. The question of continuing the pension of Lingamma nagathi to certain claimants including the present petr. Mr. B. D. Madakari naik has been disposed of in S+A 100 of 1927. Govt. found no reason to continue the pension wholly or partly to any of the claimants and ordered that it should lapse.

The instances now quoted cannot be taken as determining the policy of Govt in these matters. The Summary of the Govt. orders continuing the pension in some cases and ordering their lapse in other cases

1062
1938

Serial No.

referred to in the linked file (flag A) is also for kind perusal.

In addn to Mr. B.D. Madakari Naik (son of a brother of the husband of Iswaramma Nagathi), another Mr. Kashiriranyappa naik (grandson of another brother of the husband of Iswaramma Nagathi) has also come forward as a claimant. His petitioner's claims were also considered when passing G.O. B 26.7.1928

Petn is placed in the file
* flag P

The petrs. may be told that these orders are final and that the question cannot be reconsidered.

(H. Stacy.) Sd/-
6.10.38

Orders have been passed in the linked file rejecting similar claims in respect of the premises of two ladies (Hanumamma Nagathi and Chikkadyamma Nagathi).

The draft endorsements may kindly be approved.

(H. Stacy.) Sd/-
10.11.38

Endorsement is issued as instructed by the Addl Secretary in person.

Issue
Sd/-
11.11.38

2, Karthik Ranganatha Naik
No 2843 G. 16/11/38

Note that the orders of Govt already passed are final & that no reconsideration is possible

2, B.D. Madakari Naik
No 2844 G. 15/11/38 — do —

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Pet. No. 167

FINANCIAL BRANCH
21 JULY 1938
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Dated 16.7.1938

20 JULY 1938
GOVT. OF MYSORE

8K 21.7.38

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Financial Department, for favour of disposal.

By Order,

K R Ramaswamy
Private Secretary to the Dewan.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
OF MYSORE.
Date of receipt 16.2.38
Petn: Regr. No. 167

Amin-ul-Mulk

Sir Mirza M. Ismail, K.T.C.I.E., O.B.E.,
K.C.I.E.,

Dewan to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Humble Petition of B.B. Madakari Naik and Others, in respect of the Lapsed Pension of Esvaramma Nagathi, Widow of my late Paternal uncle Kusturi Rungappa Naik. No.9.

*****

S i r,

The humble Petitioners beg to submit that they are the descendants of Kochi Madakari Naik (Original Pallegar of Chitaldroog), who in May 1802 was granted a Pension of Rs.136-9-9 per mensem by Dewan Poorniah in lieu of the Pallepat, and was also honoured by Khillats on auspicious occasions, This pension was continued to his descendants as Hereditary Pension.

2. Your humble petitioner B.B. Madakari Naik's nephew G. Bharamappa Naik, adopted by my uncle - Kusturi Rungappa Naik, was enjoying a stipend of Rs.60-0-4 and on his death without issue, it was continued to his wife Mungamma Nagathi and to her mother-in-law my paternal Aunt in G.O. No. Fl.1212/S & A. 293-16-9 dated 6th September 1917 on the death of the former, the pension of Rs.60-0-4 was continued in full to Eswaramma Nagathi in G.O. No.6566/S & A.40-25-10 dated Bangalore 13th May 1926.

3. Your petitioner begs to state, that on the death of Esvaramma Nagathi, leaving no issues, the pension was ordered to lapse to Government in Government Order No. Fl. 746/S & A.100-27-6 without clearly investigating the claims of the Petitioners on whom this Pension should have devolved.

4. Para 5 of this Government Order reads as follows:- "The Pension the disposal of which is now in question was sanctioned as a life grant to Mungamma Nagathi and Eswaramma Nagathi, for their maintenance, as they have left no issues.

5. But the Order Fl. 1212/S & A. 293-16-9 dated 6th September 1917 sanctioning the Pension in question of the deceased Bharamappa Naik in the name of Mungamma Nagathi and Eswaramma Nagathi does not say that it is a life grant limited to the lines of the two ladies. The continuation of the pension from Mungamma Nagathi to the said Esvaramma Nagathi defines clearly that it is hereditary. Even in Endorsement No. Fl.6722/S & A 40-25-11 dated Bangalore the 20th May 1926 given to Esvaramma Nagathi no mention is made that the pension of her son sanctioned in her name in full was a life grant lasting only for her life. This fact is also borne out by the Endorsement No.Fl. 7189/25th June 1926 given to me when I claimed the pension. The Endorsement given to me in this connection does not say that it is a life grant.

6. It is therefore clear that it was the intention of the Government to continue the pension

pension to the existing heirs of the deceased Esvaramma Nagathi.

7. Further the petitioner begs to state that life grants have been continued to the heirs of deceased female stipendaries:

For instance:-

In the case of Akkajammanni of Anekal Family, The pension enjoyed by her was a life stipend, and should have lapsed on her demise, but on the contrary, the stipend of Rs.222-6-10 of the deceased lady was continued to her adopted son Chikkarayar's eldest son and also to his brothers, who had no interest in the pension (vide G.O. No. Fl.1178/S and A 42-18-8 dated 14th August 1919).

Flag T.

(ii) Similarly in the case of Bhaskaramma of Munjarabad family the pension, which should have lasted only for the life of the lady, and continued to the nephew of the deceased pensioner's husband, in the second branch of the family (vide G.O. No. Fl. 784/S and A. 59-19-6 dated 26th April 1920, Bangalore).

(iii) Government are not aware of the fact that the pension held by the females have been continued to heirs however remote may be their relationship to the deceased In the Anegondi Family the pension of Chowdamma was distributed among several claimants who were distant relatives of the deceased lady (vide G.O.Fl.4937/S and

S & A. 64-10-4 dated Bangalore,
16th May 1912.)

(iv) Belagutti Family:- In this family the claims of the distant cousin of a different branch of the deceased Jaganavva were recognised and reconsidered by Government and the pension was continued in the name of Rungappa Rajiah the sole surviving member of the family (vide Fl. 4810/S and A. 305-34-4 dated Bangalore 2nd/4th December 1933).

(v) Chitaldrug Family:- The claim of one Rungappa Naik to the vacant pension of his paternal aunt Rungamma Nagathi was also reconsidered by Government and the pension was continued to him (Vide Order No.Fl.4420 S and A. 95-33-4 dated Bangalore 31st ~~July~~ January 1934).

8. The precedents quoted above also support the petitioners' claim. It may not be out of place to mention that in the same family namely the family of Kochi Madakari Naik's branch of the Chitaldrug Pallegar's to which the petitioners belong, the pension enjoyed by Parasuramappa Naik my paternal uncle No. Seebakkamma Nagathi my grand-mother No. Siddalingamma ~~my~~ daughter of Veera Themal Naik my paternal Uncle No. and Basamma a distantly related lady of this family, was ~~xx~~ divided among the paternal uncles and other distant claimants (vide G.O. P & A. No. 30/G. 35-92 dated Bangalore, 22nd June 1895).

9. In this connection the petitioner begs permission to state that in these latter cases the pensions were once lapsed to Government and on further representation by these who urged their claims were reconsidered and the pensions were continued to them. In the light of the facts stated above the petitioners beg that their claims to the pension under disposal which has lapsed to Government may kindly be considered.

10. It is clear from the foresaid cases that the Government have been adopting a liberal policy towards Pallegars even so far back as 1887 when the recognized adoption as a means of perpetuating - lineage on the failure of the natural heirs.

11. In para 7 of the Government Order Camp No. 1678 dated Mysore 29th October 1887 it is stated that Pallegar Pensions were granted in lieu of Pallepat lands. "In para 8th the same order further declares" His Highness Government are of opinion that it would be no more than bare justice to extend to Mysore Pallegar Pensions, the same rule of succession as applies to Pallepat Lands". In view of this declaration, it would be but just that the pensions are continued as long as there are heirs to claim them. Government have therefore recognised adoption in the Pallegar families with a view to continue the pensions.

12. The very fact that on two occasions Government increased the allowances of Pallegars to improve their condition, shows that these pensions are not to lapse. The devolution of the pensions is governed by the Hindu Law of Succession and they are hereditary

by nature.

13. The Government have further liberalised Mr. Bowring's order namely that stipends held by females should lapse on their demise in Residency No. 117 dated 12th August 1880 and modified it, by saying that "This however must be considered as applying only to those cases in which stipendiaries have no issues or heirs.

14. In view of the facts quoted above and the precedents in support of the petitioners' request they beg to state that the vacant pension of the late Bharamappa Naik (which was continued in the names of Mungamma Nagathi and Esvaramma Nagathi) may be granted to the petitioners and registered separately in their names which effect from the date of the death of Esvaramma Nagathi.

15. The Petitioners are closely related to the deceased Esvaramma Nagathi - (Geneological Table herewith enclosed showing the relationship).

16. The Petitioner B.D.Madakari Naik No.12 is the paternal uncle of Bharamappa Naik No. 16 whose pension in question he now claims, and also brother after adoption by my paternal uncle Kusturi Rungappa Naik No. 24, Lingarajiah, the sons of Parasuramappa Naik and Muregeppa Naik are equally entitled to the pension.

Petitioners once more pray that their claims may be reconsidered, as in many similar cases shown above, Government have continued the stipends held by ladies either to distant cousins or nephews

nephews or to remotely connected members, and in some cases even to illegitimate members.

For which act of kindness and generosity your humble petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray for your Honour's long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Camp) Bangalore,
16th July 1938.

B.D. Madakari Nair
Pensioner Holder.

Enclosures: 14

Address for purposes.-

B.D. Madakari Naik,
Pallegar of Chitaldroog,
Residing at Bharamasagara,
Via. Davangere (M.S.R)





SLA 231-38-2

No. 800/Eme. One.

THE PALACE, MYSORE.

..20th..September..... 1938..

2/9/



The petition, of which particulars are given below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is forwarded with compliments for favour of disposal.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Bnagalore.

By Order,

For *Huzur Secretary*
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 163 of 1938-39.

The petition dated 15-9-38 from Mr. B. Kasturi Rangappa Naik Pallegar of Chitaldurg, praying that the political pension which has lapsed on the death of Eswaramma may be restored and distributed among the petitioner and the other varasdars. This is in continuation of his previous petition dated 14-9-37.

The above petition has been disposed of and the petitioner was informed along with others that Govt do not see any reason to revise the orders already passed, (vide SLA 17-37-3) Dept No. 81 of 1937, though, as usual with these petitioners, they do not refer to orders that have been passed, because they are unfavourable to them.

Orders on the other file No 299 may be awaited. and this petition will be placed in that above file.

Flag J

BRG 1/10.

(illegible)

B. Kasturi Rajaiah K.

Jamni

Holalkere

Dt. 15-9-38

Fall of jar

Chitaldroog

FINANCE
2 SEP. 1938
1095
GEN. & REV. SECT.

ఈ కార్యక్రమం కొన్ని సంవత్సరాలనుండి జరుగుతున్నది. ఈ సంవత్సరం కూడా ఈ కార్యక్రమం జరుపుటకు ఏర్పాట్లు చేయుచున్నారు.

ఈ సంవత్సరం ఈ విభాగానికి ఖర్చులకు ఎంత మొత్తం అవసరమగునో అంచనా వేసి ఆ మొత్తమును ఈ విభాగమునకు ఇవ్వవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాము. ఈ విభాగమునకు ఇవ్వవలసిన మొత్తమును ఈ క్రింది విధముగా ఏర్పాటు చేయవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాము.

1. ఈ విభాగమునకు ఇవ్వవలసిన మొత్తమును

Yours faithfully
B. Kasturi Rajaiah
Pallegar
Holalkere
Dt 26-9-38

This reminder-petition will be placed in the main file.

2990-1062

1/10.

SL No 1388/38-39

FINANCIAL
26 OCT. 1938
1388
CENL. DEV.

CHIEF SECRETARY
25 OCT. 1938
GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to submit that I have been appointed as Village Accountant of Nolackere village in Chiknayakanhalli taluk. I have been informed that I have to pay Rs. 63 as Security deposit. I am a poor man and have no means to pay the amount. I therefore request that the amount may be remitted in my case.

Yours obediently,
B. Kasturi Rajaiah
Nolackere
Pallegatte
Chiknayakanhalli taluk
Dated 20-9-38

Proceedings of the Govt. H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

" General, dated, 30th September 1902.

Read again.-

Govt. Pro- camp No. 1678 dated 29th October 1889.

Read the following papers.

Letter No.9 of the 15th August 1887 from Khazana Bakshi, reporting the death on the 17th May 1887 of Ganganna of the stipendicires of Manjarabad Pallegar family, who was in receipt of a pension of Rs.84-11-11 per mensem, and submitting proposals for the disposal of the pension.

Letter No.14 of the 11th October 1887 from the Khazana Bakshi forwarding certain papers in connection with the above mentioned pension.

Camp No. 1678 dated Mysore, 29th October 1187.

Orders thereon:-

On the general question of the validity of an adoption for the purpose of succession to a Pallegar pension, His Highhess Government are of opinion that a native State should refuse its recognition to any adoption properly made from among the next of Kin. In 1882, His Highness the late Maharaja sanctioned the adoption of a son by a Pallegar widow and continued the pension to such adopted son. During the British Administration of the Province, it was laid down (in August 1862 in connection with an adoption in the Anekal family) that the Government ought not to recognise any adoption as valid for the purpose of succession to a Pallegar pension. This ruling was based probably upon the orders of the Madras Government dated 18th September 51 quoted at page 150 of the standing orders of the Board of Revenue (Mr. Dalzell's Edition 1866)

These orders laid down as follows:-

Pensions granted hereditary are to cease when the hereditary line fails and are not "to pass to adopted children"

and the Government reserve to themselves in all cases the right of refusing the recognise the claims of an adopted son to any allowance in land or money. The same orders however authorised collectors to recognise an adoption when the party adopted was near of kin to the party making the adoption, as nephew or first cousin of an undivided family.

The cases to which these orders of the Madras Government were intended to apply where not exactly anologous to the Mysore Pallegar pensions which are granted under very peculiar circumstances, partly in lieu of Pallepat lands and partly on political grounds. In Britsh Territory the Government confirmed to the Pallegars in most cases their ~~xii~~ pallepat in meet lands subject to the payment of a Peshash. In Mysore this class of petty rulers lost their political power and importance during the Mohammedan rule, and on the pacification of the country after the fall of Seringapatam, it was deemed indevisible to restore to them their old pallepat lands, and they were accordingly granted money pensions. With the full of the value of the money the pensions were increased on two occasions during the British Administrations once in 1860 by 50 percent, and again in 1865 by 25 percent.

Under such circumstances, His Highness Government are of opinion that it will be no more than bare justice to extend to Mysore Pallegar pensions, the same rule of succession as applies to pallepat lands. In the case of latter the succession admittedly vests in a son duly adopted and to apply the same rule to the Mysore Pallegar pensions would be in strict accordance not only the fundamental principles of Hindu Law and the prededents of the State when under Native rule, but also with the popular general feeling in the matter.

The rule of Hindu Law which requires the previous sanction of the Sovereign to an adoption by a widow in such cases,

cases, and which prescribes that the boy adopted shall be the nearest of kin to the adoptive father, is of special importance as regards pallegar pensions, and must therefore be most scrupulously followed in order to ensure the recognition of any adoption as valid for the purpose of succession to pallegar pension. The strict enforcement of this rule would be the means of keeping the pension in the same family a result which is highly desirable on grounds other than those of mere conformity to Hindu Law.

Sd) R.V. Vijayendra Rao,
Chief Secretary.

No. 5187 - 95 Mrs. 795 dated Bangalore, 30th September 1902.

Orders thereon:-

The attention of the Khazana Bakshi, State Huzur Treasury, and the Deputy Commissioner of Districts is drawn to the proceedings read above and they are requested to see that the principle therein laid down for recognising adoptions for purpose of succession to Pallegar pensions be scrupulously adhered to in future.

Sd) H. Ramaiah,
For Secry. G & R.

PALLEGARS OF MYSORE.

I thank you for your address of congratulations, Be assured that you have my sympathy and that the stipends now enjoyed by your families will be continued according to their respective terms. But I must point out to you that the advancement of your condition is entirely in your own hands, and that any efforts on your part to secure for your children a share in the public service of the country and in the other professions now opened to you, in common with all, will receive my support and encouragement.

Proceedings of the Installation of H.H. the Maharaja Chamarajendra Wadiyear Bhaddur in the Government of Territories of Mysore.

25th March 1881.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General & Revenue Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore, the 20th May 1926.

No. Fl.6722/S & A.,
40-25-11.

ENDORSEMENT.

To

Eswaramma Nagathi,
Pallegar of Chitaldrug,
C/o Lingarajiah, Pallegar of Chitaldrug,
at Gudugunta; Via. Raichur, G.I.P.Ry

In reply to her petition dated 22nd February 1926 praying for the continuance of the Pallegar Pension of Rs.60-0-4 of G. Bharamappa Naik of Chitaldrug to her, the petitioner Eswaramma Nagathi is informed that Government have been pleased to issue orders in Government Order No. Fl.6566 S & A. 40-25-10 dated 13th May 1926 sanctioning the continuance of the said pension full to her.

By Order

Sd) S. Sreenivasa Iyengar,

Financial Secretary to Govt.

******

)d) Superintendent, Financial Branch,

General & Revenue Secretariat,
Bangalore.

Number of words	..	123.
Copying Fees	..	Rs. 0-4-0.
Comparing fees	..	0-0-8.
Court fee stamps to be attached.		0-8-0.
Paper cost.		0-0-1.
	TOTAL Rs.	0-12-9.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF MYSORE.

General Department, 18th November 1878.

Read:- Application from Khazana Bakshi No.11 dated 31st July 1878 submitting a petition from Murigeppa Naik, son of the late Bharamappa Naik of the Chitaldrug Pallegar family, praying that in lieu of the stipend of Rs.15/- per mensem continued to his father and to himself from the date of the former's decease on ~~X~~ 4th March 1878 the full stipend granted to the late Keerata Naik viz., Rs.63-9-3 may be assigned to him and further that the reduced stipend of Rs.15/- per mensem be paid to him with arrears from the 2nd December 1874, the date of the late Keerata Naik's decease.

Letter from -do- No. 20 dated 29th October 1878 replying to D.O. Communication made to him by the Secretary on the subject of the above.

Docket from Secretary ~~on the subject of the above~~ to Chief Commissioner No. 124 dated 31st October 1878 calling for further report on certain points.

Report from Khazana No. 24 dated 8th November 1878 submitting the report called for.

No. 140 G. dated, Bangalore 18th November 1878.

Order thereon:-

On the death of Keerata Naik on the 2nd December 1874, without issue, the late Chief Commissioner held that his nephew Bharamappa Naik whom it was alleged had been adopted by Kireeta Naik, but not to the previous consent of Government, who was entitled only to an allowance from that stipend of Rs.15/- per mensem, that sum being equivalent to the sum assigned to his younger brother's (sons of Medakri Naik) from their father's pension.

2. Bharamappa Naik being dissatisfied with the decision, declined up to the time of his death on 4th March 1878 to receive the stipend of Rs.15/-.

3. Bharamappa Naik left one son, Murigeppa, Naik, the present applicant, who has been permitted to draw the stipend of Rs.15/- from the date of his father's death.

4. The orders passed by Sir. M. Mead cannot be disturbed but on a full consideration of the circumstances of this family and of the liberal terms on which Pallegar's stipends have been continued to their descendants, the Chief Commissioner is pleased to direct that the unappropriated portion of Kirata Naik's stipend, viz., Rs.48-9-3 be distributed to the several members of the late Madakari Naik's family in the following manner.

1. To Katappa Naik eldest son of Madari Naik		Rs.8-9-3 per mensen.
2. Veera Timal Naik	..	8-0-0 "
3. Lingaraja Naik	..	8-0-0 "
4. Parasumama Naik	..	8-0-0 "
5. Kasturi Rangappa Naik.	..	8-0-0 "
6. Bharamappa Naik's son Murigeppa Naik		8-0-0 "
	Total Rs.	48-9-3.

The abovementioned allowances are in addition to the stipends already granted to them.

5. Mr. Gardon cannot accede to the request made by Murigeppa Naik for payment to him of the arrears of the stipend undrawn by his father for upwards of three years, but is willing as an act of grace to authorise the disbursement to him of Rs.300/- (Rupees Three hundred) this sum being somewhat more than a moiety of the whole amount.

Sd) Tredway. G. Clerks,
secretary.

To

Khazana Bakshi for information and communication to the several individuals named in para 4.

To

The Deputy Accountant General in Mysore,

" C o p y "

Sd) Superintendent, Financial Branch,
General & Revenue Secretariat,
Bangalore.

Number of words	...	504.
Copying fees	..	Rs.0-12-0
Comparing fees	..	0- 2-0
Paper cost	..	0- 0-6
stamps	..	1- 0-0
Total	Rs.	1-14-6.

****

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read again.-

G.O. No. P.P. 182 dated 13th June 1904 authorising the disbursement of one half of the monthly stipend of Rs.253-2-0 registered in the name of Sarja Pattabhi Ramappa Naik of the Tarikere Pallegar Family to Sarja Rangappa Naik.

2. G.O. 5767-8/S & R. 285-10-4 dated the 22nd May 1911 sanctioning the continuance of such disbursement of the stipend to Honnamma Nagathi widow of Rangappa Naik.

Read also.-

Letter No. 172 dated the 27th February 1914 from the Khazana Bakshi, State Huzur Treasury reporting the death of Honnamma Nagathi on the 8th January 1914 leaving two legal heirs (1) Sarja Pattabhi Ramappa Naik, the deceased's husband's brother's son and (2) Venktapathi Naik, the deceased's daughter's son and young Zamindar of Kangundi and recommending that the deceased Honnamma Nagathi's share may revert to the former with effect from 9th January 1914.

Order thereon No. Fl. 623-4/S&A. 384-13-2.

Dated, Bangalore 10th August 1914.

Government are pleased to direct that the vacant half stipend of Rs.126-9-0 may also be disbursed with effect from the 9th January 1914, to Sarja Pattabhi Ramappa Naik who has been enjoying the other half of the pension in his own right.

Sd) Lakshmi Narasimha Rao,
For Offg. Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

*****

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government order No. C. 488 dated 26th May 1888 sanctioning a life pension of Rs.26-1-0 per mensem to Bhaskaramma widow of the late Ramaswamy Naik of Manjarabad family under a provisional arrangement subject to revision on the completion of the adoption intended to be taken by her and observing that the pension granted to Ramaswamy Naik must continue to be enjoyed in the 2nd branch of the family of Venkatadri Naik.

2. Petition dated 10th September 1919 from Chick Krishnappa Naik praying for the continuance of the pension fallen vacant by the death of Bhaskaramma, leaving no heirs on the 14th March 1918.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. 8/93 dated 23rd January 1920, from the Comptroller reporting on the claims to the above pension and stating that among the marginally noted surviving members of the family the 1st two applicants have no right and title to the stipend and that it is for orders if in the circumstances reported, the vacant pension raised to Rs.39-1-6 in genemal revision should be continued to Chicka Krishnappa Naik, the nephew of the deceased pensioner's husband, the nearest legal heir in the second branch of the family or the pension should lapse to Government.

(1) Mr. Gopalakrishnaswamy Naik as per petitions of March 1918 and Feb. 1919.

(2) Mr. M. Narasimha Naik and Mr. Venkataramaswamy Naik as per petition of March 1919.

(3) Mr. Chick Krishnappa Naik

Order No. Fl. 7847/S&A 59-19-6.

Dated 26th April 1920, Bangalore.

Government are pleamsed to sanction the continuance of the vacant pension of Rs.39-1-6 to the Chick Krishnappa Naik of the 2nd branch of the family of Venkatadri Naik with effect from 15th March.

Sd) B. Sreenivasa Iyengar,

For Financial Secretary to Govt.

***

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharajaqof Mysore.

Read:- Correspondence ending with letter No. 19 dated 10th September 1910 from the Assitant Comptroller in charge of the State Huzur Treasury reporting the death of Chowdamma of the Anegonde family who was in receipt of a Pallegar Pension of Rs.38-2-3 per mensem sanctioned in G.O. No. P.P. 83-84 dated 16th March 1898 and recommending that the pension may be continued to Venkata Raju in preference to Jampal Raju who also claims the said pension.

Order thereon No. Fl.4937-8/S & A. 64-10-12 dated Bangalore 16th May 1912.

Pensioned Chowdamma died on 20th April 1910 leaving no direct heirs. The Huzur Treasury Officer recommends that the pension of the deceased may be continued to Venkatarama Raju (who is since dead) as the former stands in a nearer relation to the deceased than the latter who is already in receipt of a pension of Rs.15-9-0 per mensem.

2. Taking all the circumstances of the case into consideration the Government of H.H. the Maharaja are pleased to direct that the pension of Rs.38-2-3 of the deceased be divided to the members of the family as:-

1. Venkata Raju	Rs.10-9-5	noted in the margin with
2. Chennamaraju	10-9-5	4.
3. Narayana Raju	8-7-9.	effect from 21st April 1910
4. Narasimhadevaraju	8-7-9.	

so as to equalise as far as possible the pensioners drawn by different branches of the family.

Sd) S. Shamanna,

For Offg. Secretary to Govt.

General & Revenue Departments.

****

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read again.- Government Ordder No. 6925-6/667 dated the 5th February 1889 recognising the adoption of Chickrayar as her son by Akkajiammanni of the Anekal family, continuing the family pension to her during her life time declaring that it should decent to her adopted son Chickrayar's eldest son to whom it was proposed to marry her daughter's daughter.

2. Correspondence ending with endorsement No. Fl.4803/ S & A. 51-12-14 dated 5th April 1914 granted to Akkajiammanni her daughter Mallegammanni and grand daughter Hirayammanni stating that the question of succession to the pension cannot be re-opened during the life time of Akkajammanni.

3. Petitioners dated the 15th May 1919 and 24th May 1919 from one M. Veera Chickrayar, 2. P. Nanjarayar and P. Puttaswamyrayar, reporting the death of Akkajiammanni on 2nd October 1918 and severally pressing their claims to the vacant pension.

4. Corresponding ending with letter No. 33-63 dated the 21st April 1919 from the Comptroller to the Government reporting on the claims of the petitioners to the vacant pension and recommending that in the circumstances of the case, the pension should lapse to Government.

Order No.Fl. 1176/ S & A. 42-18-8 dated 14th August 1919. After carefully considering the circumstances of the case, ~~the pension should lapse to Government.~~ and the claims of the

Order No.Fl.-11 petitioners Government are pleased to direct that the vacant Pallegar pension of the Anekal family Rs.222-6-10 be registered with effect from 3rd October 1918 in the name of the eldest heir Veerachickrayar, but with the direction that he should divide the pension equally between himself and his two brothers Nanjarayar and Puttaswamirayar.

Sd) B. Sreenivasa Iyengar,
For Financial Secry. to Government.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:-

G.O. Fl.6729/S & A. 129-32-4 dated 24th/26th February 1932 directing that the pension of Rs.63-8-10 enjoyed by Jaganavva of the Belagutti Pallegar family should lapse to Government.

2. Petition received on 29-3-1935 from Rangapparajiah and other members of the Bellagutti Pallegar family, praying for reasons explained for a reconsideration of the Government Order read above and requesting that the vacant stipend of Rs.63-8-0 may be continued in the name of Rangapparajiah, cousin brother of Thimmapparajiah, husband of the lat Jaganavva.

Order No.Fl.4810/S & A.305-34-4 dated Bangalore 2nd/4th December 1935.

The previous records of the case show that the pension under disposal was originally granted to one Dasappa Naik (alias) Dasappa. He having been deprived of his pension owing to his absence without leave his pension was transferred to Mariappa in 1820. This Mariappa or Mariapparajiah was the nephew of Dasappa and is stated to have been son-inlaw. also. On the death of Mariapparajiah the pension devolved on his second son, Jagana pparajiah in preference to the elder brother who was passed over as being incapacitated by disease.

2. After the death of Jagannapparajiah the pension was granted to his son Thimmapparajiah (alias) Thirukapparajiah and was continued on his demise to his widow Jagannavva.

Jaganavva having died without issue on 13th August 1930 the pension was ordered to lapse to Government inthe Government order dated 26-2-1936.

3. It will thus be seen that the transfer of pension to Mariapparajiah referred to above too place in 1820 and has been acted upon for over a century and the validity or otherwise of the transfer cannot be questioned at this distance of time.

There is also no support for the view that the grant to Jagannavva was not for the benefit of the family. On the contrary although the pension was registered in the name of the one person, all the members of the family appear to have enjoyed its benefits. It is also reported that though there are no direct heirs to the deceased Jagannavva there are cousins said to be living together amicably. In similar circumstances in the Belagutti family the pension has been continued to the cousins of the last male holder.

4. In the above circumstances, Government are pleased to direct as a special case supersession of the orders dated 26-2-1932 passed in the case that the vacant stipend of Rs.63-8-10 be registered and continued in the name of Rangapparajiah the eldest surviving member of the family with effect from 22nd November 1935.

Sd) M. Shammanna,
For Finl. Secretary to Govt.

Memo No. 945/Pen dated 17-12-35.

Forwarded with compliements

Pension at Rs.63-8-10 in the name of Mr. Rangapparajiah has been authorised with effect, from 22-11-1935.

Sd) K. Srinivasa Murthy,
Asst. Comptroller.

To
The Officer-in-charge, W.H. Treasury, Bangalore.

Sd) M.S. Ramachandra Rao.

******

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA F MYSORE.

Read:

Government Order No. Fl. 1868-9/S and A. 10-15-12 dated 28th September 1916 sanctioning the transfer of the Pallegar Pension of Rs.31-12-5 in the name of Sreerangappa Naik of Chitaldrug Pallegar family to the widow Rungamma Nagathi, after the death of her husband Sreerangappa Naik.

2. Government Order No. Fl. 1714/S and A. 111-30-9 dated 9th September 1931 directing that the pension enjoyed by the deceased Rangamma Nagathi should lapse to Government.

3. Pensio Petition dated 23rd December 1933 from Ramappa Naik of the Chitaldrug Pallegar family praying, for reasons explained for a reconsideration of Government Order dated 9th September 1931 and requesting that the vacant stipend of Rs.31-12-5 be continued in his name.

Order No. FC. 4420/S & A. 95-33-4

Dated Bangalore the 31st Jan.1934.

The Chitaldrug Pallegar stipend of Rs.63-8-10 originally stood in the name of Sreerangappa Naik the petitioner's paternal uncle, for the benefit of the entire family. After the death of Parasuramappa Naik, brother of Sreerangappa Naik and Petitioner's father, the stipend in question was ordered to be divided equally between Sreerangappa Naik and his nephew Ramappa Naik, the petitioner and registered separately in their names, on the death of Sree Rangappa Naik without male issues his share of pension viz. Rs.31-12-5 was transferred to his widow Rangamma Nagathi.

2. Rangamma Nagathi having died issueless on 17th May

1929 his her pension of Rs.31-12-5 was ordered to lapse to Government.

3. Ramappa Naik the present applicant is himself a Pallegar Pensioner drawing Rs.31-12-5, now being half of the pension which is paternal grandfather Pararu Ruppa Naik was enjoying the other half being enjoyed by the his paternal uncle Sreerangappa Naik, and after his demise by the paternal aunt Rangamma Nagathi.

4. Having regard to the effect that Ramappa Naik is not a remote member of the family and that he is of direct male descent in his own right enjoying half of the pension, viz., Rs.31-12-5 of the family Government are pleased to reconsider the case and direct that the vacant half stipend of Rs.31-12-5 per mensem be registered and continued in his name of Ramappa Naik with effect from 16th January 1934.

Sd) M. Shammanna

For Fl. Secretary to Government.

The Comptroller to Government.

Copy Memo No. 3346 dated 15-3-1934

Copy forwarded to Ramappa Naik Pallegar ofChitaldrug for information.

Sd) M.S. Rimachandra Rao,

Officer-in-Charge State Huzur Treasury.

16/2/34.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Petition dated the 5-9-1909 from Puttannayya reporting the death of his step mother Nagalakshamammanni of the Chickballapur Pallegar family and praying that the pension of Rs.33-12-0 enjoyed by her may be continued to him.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 17 dated the 27th September 1909 from the Officer-in-Charge of the State Huzur Treasury reporting on the above petition.

Order thereon No. Fl.3408-9/S & A.127-09-7
dated 19-1-1910.

It is reported that Nagarlakshammanni a Chickballapur Pallegar stipendary, for whose support as well as that of Puttannayya her step son, a monthly pension of Rs.33-12-0 was sanctioned in Government Order No. PF.86-7 dated the 10th November 1900 died on the 1st Spt. 1909. Puttannayya now prays that the pension may be continued to him in full.

Government are pleased to sanction the continuance of the pension of Rs.33-12-0 per mensem in the name of Puttannayya with effect from the 2nd September 1909.

Sd) M.N. Krishna Rao,
For Secretary to Government.

To

The Officer-in-charge of the State Huzur Treasury, returning the enclosures.

The Comptroller to Government.

****

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner in Mysore,

General Department

11th February 1879.

Read:-

Docket No. 33 dated 3rd February 1879 from the Khazana Bakshi, suggesting that the stipend viz., Rs.15/- hitherto paid to the late Seebakkamma a female member of the Chitaldrug Pallegar Family may be divided in equal proportion among the sons of late Seebakkamma. The several claimants as denoted in the margin at Rs.2-8-0 each per mensem.

(1) Katappa Naik ..	Rs.2-8-0
(2) Veera Timal Naik ..	2-8-0
(3) Parasurama Naik ..	2-8-0
(4) Lingrajiah ..	2-8-0
(5) Kasturi Rangappa Naik	2-8-0
(6) Moorigeppa Naik	2-8-0.

No. 185 G. dated Bangalore 11th Feb.1879.

Order:- The foregoing proposed distribution of the late Seebakkamma's stipend is approved and sanctioned by the Chief Commissioner with effect from the 8th November last, the day following that on which Seebakkamma died.

Sd) W.J. Cunnigham,

Offg. Secretary.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

Read:- Khazana Bakshi's report No.20 dated 7th February 1890 also letter No. 419/369 dated 15th December 1890 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

P & A. No.30/G.357-92 dated Bangalore 22nd June 1895.

ORDER THEREON.

The claim in this case is in receipt of Pallegar Pension enjoyed by the late Siddalingamma a lady who belonged to the family of the Kochi Madakari Naik branch of the Chitaldrug Pallegar.

This lady had paternal uncles namely No. 46 Kasturi Rengappa Naik and No. 44 Lingarajiah (who are two of the present claimants) and the late No. 42 Katappa Naik and No. 48 Murigeppa Naik are the other two claimants.

2. No. 48 Murigeppa Naik is not as near to the deceased as the other three claimansts if his father No. 47 Bharamappa Naik's adoption by Kirata Naik be taken into account, but in spite of such adoption (which generally used not to be recognised for the purpose of Pallegar Pensions) Bharamappa Naik appears to have had the same status in the family of his birth as his other brothers.

3. Under such circumstances, the Government direct that the pension of Siddalingamma deceased be divided equally between the four claimants with arrears from the date the same has been in abeyance.

4. There is also another claim of succession to the pension of Basamma, This pension should go the decendants grandfather No. 9 Katappa Naik through No. 19, Kochi Madakari Naik and not to the descendants of her husband's grand-father's brother (No.10) Parasurama Naik's descendants. Therefore (No.15) Sreerangappa Naik's claim is rejected.

5. The claim of (No.33) Jampal Naik and (No.34) Katappa

Naik are also rejected, as they belong to the illegitimate branch of Kochi Madakari Naik's descendants.

6. This pension with arrears should be divided equally between Kochi Madakari Naik's descendants in the legitimate branch namely:-

(1) Dodda Madakari Naik' son of (No.42) Katappa Naik.

(2) No.44 Lingrajiah,

(3) No.46 Kasturi Rangappa Naik and

(4) No. 48 Murigeppa Naik son of (No.47) Bharamappa Naik.

7. The Khazana Bakshi should report the monthly addition to the pensions of these four individuals in accordance with the above orders.

8. Reconsideration of the lapsed pensions of Siddamma and Sooramma is impedient and the claims in respect of these pensions are rejected.

Sd) V.N. Narasimha Iyengar,
General Secretary.

S & A
231-38-3

No. H 2843 Two drafts Dated 15th Nov. 38.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Endorsement granted to Mr. B. Kasthuri ranga appa rain Pallegar of Chitaldrug Family, Holalkere.

Sd. by Mr. S. K. Jamkhandi

With reference to his petitions dated 15th and 26th Sept 1938 and 24th Oct 1938 praying that the political pension which lapsed on the death of Easwaramma Wife of the may be restored and distributed among himself & certain other claimants, Mr. B. Kasthuri Rangappa rain is informed that the orders already passed by Govt in the matter (G.O. 26 Dy. 1928) are final and the question cannot be reopened.

By order

10.11.38.

11.11.38.

Financial Secretary

to Govt.

WD 0523—GPB—7,500—16.2.37

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Sd/- by Mr. S. H. Jaya Chandra

No. Fl 2844 Two drafts dated 13th Nov. 38

Sd/ 23/1-38-3

Endorsement granted to
Mr. B. D. Gadaraji Nair
Pallegar of Chitaloog
Bhadravathi
Via Davangere.
with reference to ~~their~~
petition dated 16/7/1938
addressed to the Dewan
praying that the ~~lapsed~~
political
pension which lapsed with
the death of Easwaramma
Nagathi may be restored
and distributed among
the petitioners, Mr. B.D.
Gadaraji Nair ~~& others~~
are informed that the
orders already passed by
(826-7-1938)
Govt in the matter are final
& ~~the~~ question cannot be
re ~~introduced~~ agitated

By order,

11.11.38

Financial Secretary

Sd/-
10.11.38.

Govt-

WD 0522—GPB—7,500—16-2-37